

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

ANDREW LAMON, R16056,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HAROLD SCHULER, *et al.*,

Defendants.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Case No. 13-cv-01129-JPG-PMF

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the court on the Report and Recommendation (“R & R”) (Doc. 50) of Magistrate Judge Philip M. Frazier with regard to Plaintiff’s Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint (Doc. 37). There were no objections file with regard to the R & R.

The Court may accept, reject or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations of the magistrate judge in a report and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). The Court must review *de novo* the portions of the report to which objections are made. The Court has discretion to conduct a new hearing and may consider the record before the magistrate judge anew or receive any further evidence deemed necessary. *Id.* “If no objection or only partial objection is made, the district court judge reviews those unobjected portions for clear error.” *Johnson v. Zema Sys. Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999).

The Court has received no objections to the R&R. The Court has reviewed the entire file and finds that the Report and Recommendation is not clearly erroneous. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report in its entirety (Doc. 50) and **DENIES** Plaintiff’s Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint (Doc. 37).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 6/10/2015

s/J. Phil Gilbert
J. PHIL GILBERT
DISTRICT JUDGE